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About me

- Co-founder and VP of Technology and Partnerships at WSO2
  - The leading Open Source Web services company
- Co-Chair of the OASIS WSRX Technical Committee
- Committer on Apache Synapse
- Member of the Apache Software Foundation
- Co-author of *Building Web Services in Java 2nd Edition*
- [http://bloglines.com/blog/paulfremantle](http://bloglines.com/blog/paulfremantle)
- Ex IBM Senior Technical Staff Member
  - developed the IBM Web Services Gateway
  - Apache WSI F
  - Apache Axis C/C++
  - J WSDL/WSDL4J – now Apache Woden
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WSRM Aims

- To help ensure that messages are delivered to their destination
  - Exactly Once In Order is the most common requirement

- “Composable” with other specifications and existing systems
  - Doesn’t re-implement those aspects
  - Has the ability to work with other standards (security, transactions, addressing)
History

- A specification designed by IBM, Microsoft, BEA and Tibco
- Designed to compose with WS-Addressing, WS-Policy
- Originally published in March 2003
- Refreshed March 2004, Feb 2005
- Submitted to OASIS June 2005
This Presentation

- Mainly based on the public review (CD4) of WSRM 1.1 (OASIS)
- With appropriate comments on the Submission spec (WSRM 1.0)
An alternative specification proposed by Fujitsu, Hitachi, NEC, Oracle, Sun

Submitted to OASIS and standardised, currently at v1.1

One available implementation:

- RM4GS Reliable Messaging for Grid Service
- A sample/reference implementation
WS-RX TC Members Include Representatives of:

- Actional Corporation
- Adobe Systems
- BEA Systems, Inc.
- BTplc
- Choreology Ltd.
- Ericsson
- Fujitsu Limited
- Hitachi, Ltd.
- IBM
- IONA Technologies
- JBoss Inc.
- Microsoft Corporation
- NEC Corporation
- Nortel Networks Limited
- Novell
- Oracle Corporation
- SAP AG
- Sonic Software Corp.
- Sonoa Systems, Inc.
- Sun Microsystems
- Tibco Software Inc.
- webMethods, Inc.
- WSO2

While the TC is working from the submitted spec, it aims to meet the requirements of all the TC members within the scope of the charter.
WSA Revision

- Web Services Addressing has a couple of weird URIs I’m going to refer to
  - [http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing/anonymous](http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing/anonymous)
    - The ‘anon’ URI
    - Means the backchannel of the transport – e.g. in replyTo
  - [http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing/none](http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing/none)
    - Don’t send me a message
A key point

- The WSRM specification is a CONNECTION ORIENTED PROTOCOL.

Designed to support multiple messages in a single “Sequence”

Think of the sequence as a reliability contract between a sender and a receiver.
The Core Protocol

- CreateSequence and CreateSequenceResponse
- Messages allocated to the sequence
- Acknowledgement
- Resend of unacknowledged messages
- TerminateSequence and TerminateSequenceResponse
Simple Example

Reliable Messaging Protocol

Establish Protocol Preconditions

CreateSequence()

CreateSequenceResponse (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc )

Sequence (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc, MessageNumber = 1 )

Sequence (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc, MessageNumber = 2 )

Sequence (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc, MessageNumber = 3, AckRequested )

SequenceAcknowledgement (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc,
AcknowledgementRange = 1,3 )

Sequence (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc, MessageNumber = 2, AckRequested )

SequenceAcknowledgement (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc,
AcknowledgementRange = 1...3 )

TerminateSequence (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc )

TerminateSequenceResponse (Identifier = http://fabrikam123.com/abc )
WS-RM Core Model

**Initial Sender**
- **Application Source**
  - Send
  - **RM Source**
    - Transmit
    - **Acknowledge**

**Ultimate Receiver**
- **Application Destination**
  - Deliver
  - **RM Destination**
    - Receive
Protocol Pre-conditions

- For any single message exchange the RM Source MUST have an endpoint reference that uniquely identifies the RM Destination Endpoint.
- The RM Source MUST have successfully created a Sequence with the RM Destination.
- The RM Source MUST be capable of formulating messages that adhere to the RM Destination's policies.
- If a secure exchange of messages is REQUIRED, then the RM Source and RM Destination MUST have a security context.
Protocol Invariants

- RMS MUST assign each message a message number beginning at 1 and increasing by 1 for each subsequent message. These numbers MUST be assigned in the same order in which messages are sent by the Application Source.

- Every acknowledgement issued by RMD MUST include the sequence number of every message successfully received and MUST exclude sequence numbers of any messages not yet received.
  - This one is wrong – we’re fixing it 😊
  - Why?
Maybe Not Exactly How You Imagine It

- The protocol ONLY concerns itself with transmission of the messages

- Delivery
  - From the RMD to the application
  - Not specified by this specification

- Could be
  - composed with WS-AT
  - locally transacted
  - Persisted at the RMD and/or RMS

- But that is implementation specific
Submitted Specification

- Explicitly called out the delivery assurances:
  - At least once
  - At most once
  - Exactly once (at least + at most)
  - InOrder

- But the protocol – and on-the-wire behaviour was identical in every case
  - OASIS TC decided to concentrate on the wire protocol
  - Removed that language
Verbs

- **SOAP Body:**
  - CreateSequence/CreateSequenceResponse
  - CloseSequence*/CloseSequenceResponse*
  - TerminateSequence/TerminateSequenceResponse*

- **SOAP Header:**
  - Sequence
  - AckRequested
  - SequenceAcknowledgement

* Indicates added since submitted to OASIS
<wsrm:CreateSequence ...>
  <wsrm:AcksTo ...>wsa:EndpointReferenceType</wsrm:AcksTo>
  <wsrm:Expires ...> xs:duration </wsrm:Expires> ?
  <wsrm:Offer ...>
    <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
    <wsrm:Expires ...> xs:duration </wsrm:Expires> ?
  ...</wsrm:Offer> ?
...</wsrm:CreateSequence>
CreateSequenceResponse

```xml
<wsrm:CreateSequenceResponse ...
  <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
  <wsrm:Expires> xs:duration </wsrm:Expires> ?
  <wsrm:AcknowledgementInterval Milliseconds="xs:unsignedLong" />?  
  <wsrm:Accept ...>  
    <wsrm:AcksTo ...> wsa:EndpointReferenceType </wsrm:AcksTo>  
  </wsrm:Accept> ?  
  ...
</wsrm:CreateSequenceResponse>
```
CreateSeq/CSR

- Sent in the body
- AcksTo specifies the place where acknowledgements are sent
- Expires is optional
- Offer is an optional way of initiating a Sequence in the reverse direction
- Can respond with *CreateSequenceRefused* fault
Offer and Accept

- Offered sequence is a way of cutting down the “back-and-forth”
- There is no specific requirement that the Offered sequence is used for responses
  - But usual behaviour
CloseSequence

```
<wsrm:CloseSequence ...>
  <wsrm:Identifier ...>xs:anyURI</wsrm:Identifier>
...
</wsrm:CloseSequence>
```

- Sent from the RMS to the RMD
- Added to help close down incomplete sequences
- After a sequence is closed, no new messages are received
- But still responds to AckRequested
  - Allowing the final state of the sequence to be ascertained
**TerminateSequence**

```xml
<wsrm:TerminateSequence ...>
  <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
  ... 
</wsrm:TerminateSequence>
```

- Sent from the RMS to the RMD - indicates that the sequence will no longer be used
- Normally sent when the sequence is complete - all sent messages have been acknowledged
- Can be sent at any time
TerminateSequenceResponse

<wsrm:TerminateSequenceResponse ...>
  <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
  ...
</wsrm:TerminateSequenceResponse>

- This was added by the TC to allow the RMS to know that the RMD successfully terminated the sequence
Sequence Header

```
<wsrn:Sequence ...>
  <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
  <wsrm:MessageNumber> wsrn:messageNumberType 
  </wsrm:MessageNumber>
...
</wsrm:Sequence>
```

- The primary header - added to every message that is in a Sequence
- Adds the sequence identifier and the message number
AckRequested

<wsrm:AckRequested ...>
    <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
    ...
</wsrm:AckRequested>

- Allows a RMS to request an acknowledgement from the RMD
- RMD must reply at once
- Must be a full acknowledgement (no Partial Answer Mode)
A complete set of ranges
  • May be Final – indicating no more messages will be accepted
  • Or None (may be Final)
  • Or Nacks of individual messages
A Possible Model
“Optimistic”

- CS/CSR initiates
- RMS sends messages under the sequence
- Assumes delivery
- Any undelivered messages will be Nacked by the RMD
  - Preferably by PiggyBackning a header on an existing response
- RMS will AckRequested when finished sending – or at a reasonable interval
- Once all messages acked, TerminateSequence
WSRM Policy

<wsrmp:RMAssertion
  wsp:Optional=true/>

- The submitted spec had a number of parameters available in policy.
- AcknowledgementInterval was moved to CS
- Dynamic optimization of protocol timing is more effective than static parameters.
A Two-way Reliable Interaction

Client

CreateSequence + Offer(B)

CreateSequenceResponse(A) + Accept(B)

Request A1

Response B1

Request A2

Response B3 + ackA123

Response B2 + ackRequested

ackB123

TerminateSequence(A)

TerminateSequenceResponse + AckA123_Final

Server

TerminateSequence(B)

TerminateSequenceResponse + AckB123_Final
Piggybacking

- This is where an acknowledgement is added onto an existing message
  - AcksTo == ReplyTo

- Allows
  - Efficient use of the protocol
  - RMD to send unasked for acknowledgements even in the anonymous HTTP case
Anonymous Clients

- The protocol supports one-way reliability with anonymous clients
  - May be reliable-in/unreliable-out
  - acksTo will be anonymous
  - Uses piggybacked acks
Anonymous Clients and Two-way

- The WSRM1.1 specification has added a new verb to support this: MakeConnection
Summary of Changes

- Namespaces!
- General tidy up of definitions
- Removal of LastMessage
- Addition of None, Final, CloseSequence
- Change in highest permissible number
- Simplification of WSRM Policy
- Composition with WSSec and other security
  - Added support for composing with SSL/TLS
- Two-way reliability with an anonymous endpoint
  - MakeConnection
Uptake

- Still gated by lack of a “standard”
- Industry has definitely moved to WSRM spec
- Examples:
  - Canadian government project built a solution on WSRM spec
  - A major Wall Street bank is looking at widespread adoption of WSRM
  - Ford and Daimler Chrysler are planning major implementations
  - Other groups such as RAMP, FIXprotocol, will profile RM
WS-I RSP

- Reliable Secure Profile
  - Proposed by IBM, Ford, DaimlerChrysler
  - Aiming at creating a supplier network based on secure reliable SOAP

- WSRM 1.1
- WS-SecureConversation 1.3
RX TC Status and Roadmap

- 60-day Public Review
  - Just finished
- Aiming to have an OASIS standard by end of year
Implementations

- Microsoft Windows Comm Framework
  - WCF aka Indigo
  - Supports the submitted spec
- IBM WebSphere 6.1
  - “IBM intends to make a fully supported Web Services Reliable Messaging solution available for WebSphere Application Server V6.1 early in 2007”
- Apache Sandesha 1.0
  - Supports the submitted spec and CD3 of WSRM 1.1
- WSO2 Tungsten
  - Supported package of Apache Axis2, WSS4J, Sandesha2
- Plus implementations from:
  - Systinet, Oracle, SAP, Sun, BEA
# OASIS Interop Results

IBM, MSFT, WSO2, SAP, Oracle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMD</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RM versus JMS

Firstly, it’s like comparing apples with oranges

- JMS is an API
- RM is a wire-format

JMS has no interoperability between different implementations

- RM is all about interop

RM has no standard programming model

- JMS is all about programming models
RM vs. SOAP/JMS

- OK, so I’m biased
- But I think RM is much cleaner and simpler
  1. No need to define new entities
     Queues and Topics are “extras” required for SOAP/JMS, whereas RM uses existing HTTP (or other) URLs
  2. No standard for SOAP/JMS
     There is work going on but still unpublished
  3. Composes more cleanly with SOAP
Sandesha

- Sanskrit word meaning “Message”
- Apache implementation of WSRM
  - Sandesha1 = Axis1
    - Not much activity
  - Sandesha2 = Axis2
    - Up to date with the latest spec and participating in the interops
Sandesha2

- A reliable messaging module for Axis2
- Full support for WSRM 1.0
- Full support up to the Committee Draft 3 of WSRM 1.1
Sandesha2 on Axis2

- When module deployed adds
  - Two handlers to the inFlow
  - One handler to the outFlow

- Can be engaged to the Axis2 engine in various levels
  - Globally
  - To a single service
  - To a single operation
Architecture (Continued)

- **Handlers**
  - Sandeshai nHandler
  - SandeshaOutHandler
  - SandeshaGlobal nHandler

- **Sender** – A thread that keeps sending and resending messages.

- **Invoker** – A thread that is responsible for doing the ordered invocation of messages.

- **Message Processors**
  - There is a message processor responsible for processing each type of message.
Sandesha2 Storage Framework

- Gives a common interface to store the data of the Sandesha2 system:
  - Defines a set of interfaces and beans
- By providing different implementations of these interfaces Sandesha can support varying levels of durability
  - The rest of the code is unaware of the storage type
- WSO2 Tungsten provides a fully persistent storage manager based on Hibernate
  - Derby as the default database, but pluggable
Adding RM to Your Application

Three approaches

- No code
- A little code
- Lots of code
No Code

- Simply enable the module at the client or server side
- Default timeouts and sequence behavior
Some Code (at the client side)

- Add context to messages
  - You can specify an "internal sequence id"
    - Could be used to isolate messages from different web-users to different sequences
  - For RM1.0 you can specify "LastMessage"
Full API

- See which sequences are in play
- Find out the status of messages
- Register to be notified of changes
- Create and terminate sequences
Sandesh2Client

- Provides a set of functions, to control the Sandesh2 client side
- Not all clients may require these
- Mostly for advanced users

- getOutgoingSequenceReport (ServiceClient serviceClient):SequenceReport
- getIncomingSequenceReports (ServiceClient serviceClient):SequenceReport
- createSequence (ServiceClient serviceClient, boolean offer)
- terminateSequence (ServiceClient serviceClient)
- waitUntilSequenceCompleted(ServiceClient serviceClient)
- sendAckRequest(ServiceClient serviceClient)
Sandesha2 Listener Feature

- Clients can register a Sandesha2 Listener
- Get notified when specific events happen
  - *When a RM message receives a fault*
  - *When a sequence timeouts*
Interoperability with Microsoft

- Microsoft WCF (used to be known as Indigo)
- Fully interoperates
  - Tested at multiple “plug-fests”
Demo
Sandesha in Other Languages

- Sandesha2/C is (almost) working
- Since Axis2/C is used by PHP, Axis2/PHP also gets RM support
  - Simple as "engageModule("sandesha2")!"
Demo2

PHP and Java reliably sending messages
Why Use WSRM?

1) It’s a standard (almost!)
2) Interoperable approach
3) It’s free – either OSS or part of Windows
4) Easy to add to existing Web services
5) Support from all the major IT vendors (and a few of the rising stars 😊)
6) Its Grrrrrrrrreat!
Resources

- WSRX TC Homepage

- Submitted specification

- Cover pages Reliable Messaging
  - http://xml.coverpages.org/reliableMessaging.html

- Apache Sandesha
  - http://ws.apache.org/sandesha2/